

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/987,024		11/13/2001	Stephen Paul Green	XA-9584 6599 EXAMINER	
181	7590	05/27/2005			
		BRIDGE PC	VAN PELT, BRADLEY J		
1751 PINNA SUITE 500	ACLE DE	RIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	MCLEAN, VA 22102-3833				
				DATE MAILED: 05/27/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Astion Occurrence		09/987,024	GREEN ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Bradley J. Van Pelt	3682					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any i	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 M	arch 2005.						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3) 🗌	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) 🖾	b)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-14</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-14</u> is/are rejected.							
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
이니	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	(PTO-413)						
· —	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	··· ,					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 6-9, and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nolte (DE 3536285 C1) in view of Hancock (USPN 5,086,661).

Nolte discloses upper and lower column assembly, central collapsible steering shaft 4 first tube 2 that is slidably mounted in a bore of a second tube 1 and that rotatably supports said central collapsible steering shaft at a lower end of said first tube, first and second bearings rotatably supporting the shaft in each the first and second tube; the assembly is rake and reach adjustable.

Nolte does not disclose the tube is made of a plastics material.

Hancock in a collapsible steering column assembly shows tubes (10 and 11) made of a plastic material (see column 3, lines 1-21).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the bearing apparatus of Nolte with a plastic or thermoplastics material, as taught by Hancock for the purpose of reducing friction in the bearing (see column 3, lines 10-11).

3. Claims 4, 5, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nolte in view of Hancock, as applied to claims 1, 3, 6-9, and 12-14.

Application/Control Number: 09/987,024

Art Unit: 3682

The above reference combination discloses all of the instantly claimed invention except

Page 3

the central bearing is a molded glass fiber-filled thermoplastics tube or a molded carbon-fiber-

filler thermoplastics tube.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

invention was made to use molded glass fiber-filled thermoplastics or molded carbon-fiber-filler

thermoplastics to form the bearing tube, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a

worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view

of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Bradley J. Van Pelt whose telephone number is (571)272-7113.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David A. Bucci can be reached on (571)272-7099. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/987,024

Art Unit: 3682

D'Control Number. 09/98/,02

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BJVP

DAVID FENSTERMACHER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
5/26/05

Page 4